

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,866	BREWER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J. Smith	3672	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) Kausik Sriram, attorney spoke to.

(2) William E. Schmidt, attorney listed.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 March 2006

**Time:** 11:55 E

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n.a.*

Claims discussed:

*n.a.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n.a.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Schmidt 14 March 2006 and asked if a response to the 17 August 2005 Office action has been submitted. Mr. Sriram replied no response filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**Jennifer H. Gay**  
 Primary Examiner

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)